

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigania 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,413	10/02/2000	Eduardo J. Baralt	T-5858	4378
7	590 08/20/2003			19
Rodney B Carroll			EXAMINER .	
Conley Rose P C 5700 Granite Parkway Suite 330		NGUYEN, TAM M		
Plano, TX 750	U2 <b>4</b>		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1764	
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A multiplate Alle	(Applies matter)	<u>inte</u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Asticus Occurs	09/678,413	BARALT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tam M. Nguyen	1764	·
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	5
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a sly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	June 2003 .		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ TI	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			erits is
Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayre, 1000 c	7.D. 11, 400 O.O. 210.	
4) Claim(s) 10-18 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are rejected.	· ·		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	·	
Application Papers			·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Abo Francisco	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	<del>-</del> ' '	, ,	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	_ , ,,	alcapprovod by the Examinor.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	•		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in	Application No	
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li> <li>application from the International But</li> <li>See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		е
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	-		lication).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has	been received.	,
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes  Attachment(s)	no priority under 35 U.S.C	7. 33 120 and/or 121.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152	
S Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/678,413

Art Unit: 1764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP-73023405.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,413

Art Unit: 1764

The JP reference discloses an oligomerization process of an alphaolefinic feedstock (e.g., 1-decene) to produce polyalphaolefins by reacting the feedstock with a boro trifluoride catalyst in the presence of co-catalyst of alcohol and carboxylic acid (e.g., acetic acid). The catalyst is used in a catalytic amount of about 13 weight percent of the 1-olefin. The process is operated a temperature from 70 to 100° C. (See abstract)

The JP reference does not specifically disclose the amount of carboxylic acid in the range of from 0.08-2 or 0.16 to 0.35 mole % based on the alpha-olefin. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of the JP reference by using the claimed amount of carboxylic acid because one of skill in the art would use any amount of carboxylic acid (including the claimed amount) to result in a catalyst complex with the acid and it would be expected that any amount of carboxylic acid would give similar results in the process of the JP process.

## Response to Arguments

The argument that the expression "consisting essentially of" in lines 6 and 9 would exclude the use of solvent in the claimed process is noted. However, the argument is not persuasive because the expression only limits the "catalyst" consisting essentially of borotrifluoride and an alcohol promoter and only limits the "modifier" consisting essentially of carboxylic acid. The expression does not exclude solvent from the claimed process because of the word "comprises" in line 2 of claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,413

Art Unit: 1764

The argument that the process of the JP reference is not a solvent-free system as claimed is noted. However, the argument is not persuasive the JP reference discloses that the oligomerization process can be operated without solvent. (See examples)

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-7715. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 703-308-6824. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-5408 for regular communications and (703) 305-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1764

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Tam M. Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 1764

TN

August 14, 2003

Walter D. Griffin Primary Examiner